UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA FORT WAYNE DIVISION

JOANN FREEMAN,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) CAUSE NO.
ALL-AMERICA FOODS, INC. d/b/a BURGER KING,)))
Defendant.	ý

COMPLAINT

Comes now Plaintiff, by counsel, alleges against the Defendant as follows:

- 1. The Plaintiff is Joann W. Freeman, a resident of Allen County, Fort Wayne, Indiana at all material times to this Complaint.
- 2. The Defendant is All-America Foods, Inc., d/b/a "Burger King", a company doing business at 10201 Illinois Rd, Fort Wayne, IN, 46814. At all material times to this Complaint, the Defendant was an "employer" for the purposes of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 42 U.S.C. § 2000 (e) et seq. ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 1981, and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq. ("ADEA").
- 3. The Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination on July 29, 2013, # 3C-0159-A13/# 24D-2013-00396, a copy of which is attached hereto, incorporated herein, and made a part hereof as Exhibit "A". The EEOC issued a Dismissal and Notice of Rights/ Notice of Suit Rights on March 27, 2014, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B". All administrative remedies have been exhausted and all jurisdictional prerequisites have been met for the filing of this lawsuit.

- 4. The Plaintiff was employed by the Defendant from on or about October 1, 2012, until her separation from employment on or about July 9, 2013. The Plaintiff performed within the reasonable expectations of the employer at all material times to this Complaint, and was over the age of forty (40) at the time of separation from employment. She is a black/African American female.
- 5. Throughout the Plaintiff's employment, she was treated less favorably than similarly situated employees under the age of forty (40), and those that were of a different race than the Plaintiff.
- 6. The Defendant failed to provide the Plaintiff the same training as similarly situated employees under the age of forty (40), and/or whom were non-black/non-African American;
- 7. In addition, similarly situated male employees were shown favoritism by the Defendant, in the provision of work hours, and training.
- 8. Similarly situated non-black/non-African American employees, those under the age of forty (40), and male employees were also treated less harshly than the Plaintiff as to the enforcement of the Defendant's policies and rules. The Plaintiff, for example, was disciplined on one (1) or more occasions when she was late to work, although similarly situated employees outside of her protected categories who were consistently late, were not disciplined by the Defendant, even when they failed to give notice that they would be late to work.
- 9. The Plaintiff contends that the Defendant discriminated against her on the basis of her age (over forty), and her sex (female), and/or discriminated against and

retaliated against her due to her race (black/African American), in violation of the Plaintiff's federally protected rights under Title VII, § 1981, and the ADEA. The Defendant's discriminatory and/or retaliatory conduct furthermore was the direct and proximate cause of the Plaintiff suffering the loss of her job and job related benefits including income and subjected the plaintiff to inconvenience, mental anguish, emotional distress, and other damages and injuries.

10. The Defendant's discriminatory and/or retaliatory conduct was also intentional, knowing, willful, wanton, and in reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's federally protected rights under Title VII, § 1981, and/or the ADEA, entitling the Plaintiff to punitive damages (where available), and liquidated damages (where available).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for judgment against the Defendant for compensatory damages, punitive damages (where available), liquidated damages (where available), reasonable attorney's fees and costs, and for all other just and proper relief in the premises.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTOPHER C. MYERS & ASSOCIATES

/s/Ilene M. Smith

Christopher C. Myers, #10043-02

Ilene M. Smith, #22818-02

809 South Calhoun Street, Suite 400

Fort Wayne, IN 46802

Telephone:

(260) 424-0600

Facsimile:

(260) 424-0712

E-mail:

cmyers@myers-law.com

ismith@myers-law.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IMS/kg S:\Freeman, Joann\Complaint.docx

EEOC Form 5 (11/09) case 1:14-cv-00180-JD-RBC docur	ment 1 file	ed 06/12/14 pa	ge 5 of 6
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION		e Presented To:	
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See applicant Bullians to	X	-1	Agency(ies) Charge No(s)
Statement and other information before completing this form.	$\frac{x}{x}$] EEOC	EC-0159-A13
City of Fort Wayne Metro Huma	an Relations	4	24D-2013-00396
State or local Agen	ncy, il any	commission	and EEOC
Name (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)		Home Phone (Incl. Area	(Code) Date of Birth
Joann W. Freeman		, ,	
City, State a	nd ZIP Code		
1726 Freedom Dr, Fort Wayne, IN 46814	ζ		
Named is the Employer Labor Occapitation 5	į		
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeship Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS I	Committee, or S below.)	tate or Local Governme	nt Agency That Belleve
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)
BURGER KING		15 - 100	(260) 625-5064
Street Address City, State and	d ZIP Code		1/3/8/ 020 0004
10201 Illinois Road, Fort Wayne, IN 46814		EEOC Inc	J. Others
	,	C)	0 3 2013
Name		No. Employees, Mambers	Phope ind Tirklide Area Code)
Circl Address		_ GEQ	Jan Van
Street Address City, State and	i ZIP Code		
•			
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)		DATE(O) COSTO	
X RACE COLOR X SEX RELIGION		Earliest	AINATION TOOK PLACE Laiesi
The state of the s	ATIONAL ORIGIN	10-01-201	•
	IC INFORMATION		
OTHER (Specify)		X co	NTINUING ACTION
THE PARTICULARS ARE (It additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):	1		
I am a qualified employee who is fifty-two (52) years old and of 2012. Since that time, I am have not been scheduled for the co-workers are younger than I am and one a different research.	na cama am	A 1 2 10 4 10 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
The state of the s	one of the en	ount of nours as t	co-workers. These
I have also not received the same training as these other empoportunities.	oloyees so a	m not being giver	n the same
For these reasons, I believe I have been discriminated against	st on the hear	: t	
			r 40, in violation of
female, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, a	as amended		nack, and gender,
		•	
,		•	
1	•		
vant this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I NOTA advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will	ARY – When neces	sary for Stale and Local Ag	ency Requirements
operate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their		·	,,
eclare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.	ar or affirm that I	have read the above ch	parge and that it is true to
The be	est of my knowle ATURE OF COMPL	age, intofination and be	lief.
Jul 29, 2013 // dry W. Shee man Subscineral	CRIBED AND SWO	RN TO BEFORE ME THIS	DATE
Cole	(month, day, year)		
Charging Party Signature			

case 1:14-cv-00180-JD-RBC document 1 filed 06/12/14 page 6 of 6

EEOC Form 161 (11/09)

Grunden Law Office

9815 Dawson's Creek Blvd Fort Wayne, IN 46825 U.S. EGUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

			DISMISSAL A	ND NOTICE OF	RIGHTS					
Тo:	1723	nn W. Freeman 3 Patriot Drive Wayne, IN 46814		From:	Indianapolis Dis 101 West Ohio S Suite 1900 Indianapolis, IN	t				
·		CONFIDEN	f person(s) aggrieved whose ide TIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))	entity is						
EEO	C Char	ge No.	EEOC Representative		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Telephone No.				
0.475			Randy G. Poynter	•						
		-00396	Enforcement Sup			(317) 226-5670				
THE	THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:									
Ĺ		The facts alleged in the	ne charge fail to state a clain	n under any of the s	tatutes enforced by th	e EEOC.				
	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.									
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.									
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge									
	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.									
						that investigated this charge.				
		Other (briefly state)								
			- NOTICE C	OF SUIT RIGHTS formation attached to t						
You m lawsui	i minat nay file it mus	ion in Employment a lawsuit against the t be filed <u>WITHIN 90</u>	respondent(s) under fed	notice of dismiss: eral law based or of this notice; or	al and of your right n this charge in fede your right to sue ba	to sue that we will send you				
alleged	I FLY	ct (EPA): EPA suits underpayment. This ile suit may not be c	must be filed in federal or means that backpay due ollectible.	state court within for any violation	2 years (3 years for ns that occurred <u>m</u>	willful violations) of the ore than 2 years (3 years)				
			On b	ehalf of the Commi	ssion	749 27 2014				
Enclosi	nes(s)	-		ter N. Smith,	(fr:	(Date Mailed)				
cc:	Ms.	April S. Grunden, Esq.								

EXHIBIT "B"

CHE CODY